

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.ustol.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/706,614	11/12/2003	Bernd Glunk	1-73810	5863
	7590 02/07/200 , SOBANSKI & TODI	•	EXAM	INER .
ONE MARITIN	ME PLAZA-FIFTH FI		LEE, EDMUND H	
, 20	720 WATER STREET TOLEDO, OH 43604 ART UNIT PAPER N		PAPER NUMBER	
,			1732	
		· ·		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	02/07/2007	PAPER ·	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

)
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Commence	10/706,614	GLUNK ET AL.	`
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	EDMUND H. LEE	1732	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence ad	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING [2] - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1, after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statul Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tilt will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this co ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status		•	•
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 A	Δugust 2006		
	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowed		osecution as to the	merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under			
Disposition of Claims		,	
4) Claim(s) 1,3-5, 7-12,20-29 is/are pending in the	he application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) 4 and 21 is/are with	drawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) <u>1,3,5,7-12,20,22-29</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•	,	•
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examina	er.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CF	R 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Śome * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.	•	
2. Certified copies of the priority documen		on No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price			Stage
application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies not receive	ed.	
	•		
Attachment(s)	🗖	(0.70, 445)	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) L Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da		
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)		

Art Unit: 1732

DETAILED ACTION

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 3,5,7,8,9,10,11,12,20,22,23,26, and 28-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nagayama et al (USPN 5854149). Nagayama et al teach the claimed process as evidenced at col 1, lns 10-15; col 3, lns 25-30; col 4, lns 58-65; col 6, lns 35-45; col 10, lns 42-55; col 12, ln 58-col 15, ln 30; col 18, lns 43-52; col 22, lns 41-62col 24, ln 15-col 25, ln 23; and figs 1-21. It should be noted that the foam layer of the skin of Nagayama et al constitutes the claimed core layer. It should also be noted that the stampable sheet or light weight stampable sheet (thermoplastic resin film) of Nagayama et al constitutes the claimed reinforcement layer. In regard to claim 10, such is taught at col 17, lns 16-38. In regard to a decorative layer/skin being applied to one of the first and second sides of the sandwich, such is shown at figs 5 and 6. In regard to claim 28, it should be noted that the two-layered reinforcement is taught at col 13, lns 1-10. In regard to claim 29, such is taught at col 13, lns 1-10 and fig 4.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1732

4. Claims 24, 25 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nagayama et al (USPN 5854149). The above teachings of Nagayama et al are incorporated hereinafter. Nagayama et al, however, do not teach using the same foam material for both the core layer and the element; using material for the element having the claimed softening temperature; and applying heat from a heat press during the molding operation. In regard to using the same foam material for both the core layer and the element, such is a mere obvious matter of choice dependent on the desired final product and of little patentanble consequence to the claimed process since it is not a manipulative feature or step of the claimed process. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the same material for the core layer and element in order to ensure a proper bond between the layer and element. In regard to using material for the element having the claimed softening temperature, such is a mere obvious matter of choice dependent on the desired final product and of little patentanble consequence to the claimed process since it is not a manipulative feature or step of the claimed process. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use an element having the claimed softening temperature in the process of Nagayama et al.in order to form a high quality element. In regard to applying heat from a heat press during the molding operation, such is well-known in the molding art to apply heat to laminate layers. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply heat through the press in order to facilitate the laminating of the layers.

Application/Control Number: 10/706,614

Art Unit: 1732

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1,3,5, 7-12,20, and 22-29 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The following patents show the state of the art: USPN 6375778; and JP 10338082 A.
- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to EDMUND H. LEE whose telephone number is 571.272.1204. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-THURSDAY FROM 9AM-4PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Colaianni can be reached on 571.272.1196. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

EDMUND H. LEE Primary Examiner Art Unit 1732

EHL

Undra U1107 Page 4